

Appl. No. 10/808,385
Amendment Dated: November 8, 2005
Reply to Office Action of September 8, 2005

REMARKS

Amendments

Claims 11, 12 and 15 are amended. The amendments to claims 11 and 15 are supported by, for example, paragraphs 8, 34 and 38. The amendments to part (c) of claim 15 and to claim 12 fix clerical errors. The specification is amended to include the matter of originally filed claims 11 and 15 in paragraph 8. The Applicants submit that the amendments do not add new matter.

Summary of Interview

An interview was held by telephone call on October 19, 2005 between the Examiner, Kevin Hurley, and the Applicants via their agent, Scott Pundsack. With reference to the items to be recorded for an interview listed in MPEP 713.04, the Applicants state the following:

- A. No exhibits were shown or demonstrations conducted.
- B. Claim 11 was discussed.
- C. No prior art was discussed.
- D. An amendment was discussed that would replace part (e) of claim 11 with a statement that the trailing arm is biased relative to the frame by a shock absorbing element.
- E. The Applicant argued that claim 11 was an originally filed claim and so part of the specification, that the scope of claim 11 included devices like those shown in the drawings and that other devices within the scope of the claims could be derived without undue experimentation. The Examiner discussed his rejection as in the last Office Action.
- F. Amendment to claim 11 was discussed as described in part D above.

Appl. No. 10//808,385
Amendment Dated: November 8, 2005
Reply to Office Action of September 8, 2005

G. The general results or outcomes of the interview we as described in the Examiner's Interview Summary.

H. There were no electronic mail communications.

Claim Rejections

Claims 11 and 15 have been amended to recite that the trailing arm is biased towards a position relative to the frame by a shock absorbing element. The Applicants submit that this claim is enabled as required by 35 USC 112. In particular, the embodiments shown in the Figures and described in detail in the specification provide examples of how to make and use the claimed invention. Further, paragraphs 8 and 38 give a general description of how to make and use the invention and paragraph 34 includes a description of further embodiments. The Applicants submit that these teachings are sufficient to enable a person skilled in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation.

For the reasons above, the Applicants submit that the claims are allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

John SCHMIDER

By Scott R. Pundsack
Scott R. Pundsack
Reg. No. 47,330
Tel: (416) 957-1698